IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.64 OF 2001
IN
APPEAL NO.807 OF 1998
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1025 OF 1996
IN
SIT NO.812 OF 1996

Gayalal M. Vijay ....Petitioner

V/s.

Dinesh J. Vora & Anr. ....Respondents

Mr.D.F. Sharma for the Petitioner.

Mr.N.G.Thakkar with Mr.J.G. Parmar for the Respondents.

CORAM : S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.
DATED : 26TH AUGUST, 2004.

P.C. :

1. Mr.Thakkar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondents, states that the only reason why the documents referred to in clause 7 of the consent terms dated 28th July, 1998 have not been signed is nonpayment of the registration charges and stamp duty. He further states that upon the Petitioner arranging for the same, the Respondents shall execute the same forthwith. He is further

agreeable that in the event of the Respondents failing to do so for any reason whatsoever, an officer of this Court be appointed for the purpose of executing the said documents. Order accordingly. Liberty therefore to the Petitioner to apply for the same if necessary. Mr.Thakkar further states that a copy of the agreement for this purpose shall be handed over to the Petitioner's advocate within one week from today.

2. As far as quantum of the amount payable under clause 4 is concerned, the Petitioner will have to adopt appropriate proceedings.

3. No action for contempt is called for. The Contempt Petition is accordingly disposed of.

. Parties to act on an ordinary copy of this order duly authenticated by the Chamber Registrar / Court Stenographer of this Court.